



Submission Form (Form 5)

Submission on Proposed Kaipara District Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed District Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by Monday 30 June 2025 via:

Email: districtplanreview@kaipara.govt.nz (subject line: Proposed District Plan Submission)
Post: District Planning Team, Kaipara District Council, Private Bag 1001, Dargaville, 0340
In person: Kaipara District Council, 32 Hokianga Road, Dargaville; or
 Kaipara District Council, 6 Molesworth Drive, Mangawhai

If you would prefer to complete your submission online, from 28 April 2025 please visit:
www.kaipara.govt.nz/kaipara-district-plan-review/proposed-district-plan

All sections of this form need to be completed for your submission to be accepted. Your submission will be checked for completeness, and you may be contacted to fill in any missing information.

Full name: Sally Jane Veal

Phone: 0272526484

Organisation:

(*the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of)

Email: sallyjveal@gmail.com

Postal address: 12 Hinamaki Dr.
 Kaipara

Postcode: 0573

Address for service: name, email and postal address (if different from above):

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

☒ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or

☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:

☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

Signature:



Date: 21/6/25

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission.)

Please note: all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

☐ I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or

☐ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,

☒ I would be prepared to consider presenting my submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are: <i>Kaipara District Plan Proposed for Hinemoki Estate</i>		(2) My submission is that: <i>I oppose RZL</i> (include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views)		(3) I seek the following decisions from Kaipara District Council. (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)	
Chapter/Appendix/ Schedule/Maps	objective/policy/rule/ standard/overlay	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons		
<i>New zone labelled Rural Lifestyle</i>	<i>Rezoning of Oneriri Rd including Hinemoki estate</i>	<i>Opposition</i>	<i>This proposal would introduce a pocket of high density housing into established rural community. Inconsistent and poses environmental and infrastructure risks and threatens value and character of area.</i>		
<i>KDC RZ policy "Concentrated in appropriate locations, closer to urban with good access to services and transport networks"</i>		<i>Oppose.</i>	<i>The area proposed fails to meet these criteria / 8-10 km from Kaikoura, and is accessed via narrow winding rural road (Oneriri Rd) which includes a flood prone single lane bridge. Connecting to SH1 via hazardous intersection</i>	<i>This location lacks proximity to services, poor transport infrastructure, not suited to increased volumes of traffic</i>	
			<i>Internal roads and stormwater system within Hinemoki Estate was not designed for increased density. No urban scale infrastructure in place.</i>	<i>Cost and logistical challenge of retrofitting would be significant and prohibitive</i>	
<i>RZL is intended to protect the rural lifestyle amenity (RZL-02, RZL-P1)</i>		<i>Oppose.</i>	<i>Introducing intensified development in this location would erode the very character the zone was created to safeguard</i>		
<i>Conclusion -</i>	<i>This proposed rezoning</i>		<i>- Contradicts RZL policy - Threatens endangered life - Create reverse sensitivity issues and impose unmanageable infrastructure demands - Disrespectful of cultural and historical significance of land.</i>	<i>objectives regarding location, infrastructure and rural character</i>	

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages